

In re: Devine et al.

Serial No.: 09/773,437

Filed: January 31, 2001

Page 5

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of February 24, 2005, **Applicants hereby elect the claims of Invention I (Claims 1-8, 19 and 21)**. Applicants have canceled the claims drawn to Invention II (Claims 9-18, 20 and 22-23). This cancellation is being done without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these claims. Applicants are not traversing the restriction requirement because Applicants agree that the claims of Invention I do not require the particulars of the claims of Invention II for patentability and because the claims of Invention II have utility by themselves or in other combinations.

Favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-8, 19 and 21 is respectfully requested. If the Examiner deems that this response is not completely responsive to the restriction requirement, the undersigned respectfully asks the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below, so as to resolve any issues that are not apparent to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



D. Randal Ayers
Registration No. 40,493
Attorney for Applicants

Customer Number 46589

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.
P.O. Box 37428
Raleigh, NC 27627
919-854-1400
919-854-1401 (Fax)